

## Marquess, Scott

---

**From:** Marquess, Scott  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 07, 2013 8:53 AM  
**To:** Huffman, Diane  
**Subject:** FW: Draft letter to Pretty Prairie

Appears that Darrel thinks we should send the letter to PP in advance of an NOV, or a referral from the state. I haven't spoken w/ CNSL, but think it would probably be best to play this "by the book", and either issue an NOV or have a referral before we engage. If we go the NOV route, I think we should accompany that with some "softening" language, or a phone call to kinda take the edge off the situation.

---

**From:** Darrel Plummer [mailto:DPlummer@kdheks.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 06, 2013 6:40 PM  
**To:** Marquess, Scott  
**Subject:** Re: Draft letter to Pretty Prairie

This letter is a good way to re-engage the community after all this time. EPA can issue the NOV after we get a response to this.

Thanx,  
Darrel

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 6, 2013, at 2:10 PM, "Marquess, Scott" <[Marquess.Scott@epa.gov](mailto:Marquess.Scott@epa.gov)> wrote:

Darrel,

Here is a draft letter to Pretty Prairie, inviting them to open discussions with EPA regarding their nitrate issue. I drafted the letter with the notion that it would be preceded by a formal "referral" from KDHE to EPA to conduct enforcement.

I think the alternate approach of sending KDHE and the PWS an NOV would also work.

Let me know if you have any thoughts about the letter or approach.

Thanks  
Scott

<invite to negotiate.docx>